SICOGA 2018

Interim Report for Vision and Governance SWG1

Convener: Ann Greenhough (vicepresident@servas.org)

Report Date: 28th September 2018

Motion No. & Heading	Contact Person for the Motion	Summary of Comments and Queries from SWG	SWG's Recommendation	Convenor's Comments
BRI-002 Commission re organisational change in Servas	Ruth Allen,, National Secretary, Servas Britain (ruthservas@gmail.com)	It was highlighted that the motion needs to be considered in connection with EXC-013 in Vision and Governance SWG2. EXC-013 would give a mandate to the next Exco to put in place a specific future model where Exco would be part of an extended board of 12 elected members. Whereas BRI-002 motion proposes looking at the feasibility of a range of options for organisational change:	Discussion needed with writer of EXC- 013 about integrating the motions. No other suggestions were made for rewording of the motion.	Agreement reached that EXC-013 should be withdrawn and the model for change proposed should be included in this motion as one of those to be considered by the Commission. Final wording not yet agreed.
EXC-017 Improve Servas International Conference and General Assembly (SICOGA)	Jonny Sågänger SI President (jonsag@gmail.com) & Pablo Colangelo	There was interest in the ideas behind the motion of increasing participation at international conferences and making such events more environmentally sustainable. No suggestions were made for amendments or clarification of the motion.	Motion does not need any further clarification.	Motion should proceed as it is.

EXC-021 Updated structure for SI committees, teams and groups (Parts A and B)	Ann Greenhough, SI Vice President (anngreenhough1@gmail.com)	Because of the large quantity of material in the appendices to the motion discussion focussed on some key changes: The new role of Membership and Technology secretary- it was accepted that change was needed because of ServasOnline. Requirements to be met by those standing for the General Secretary role: there was some debate about these but no suggested amendments.	No amendments or further requests for clarification were made. This Includes for the key role of Membership and Technology Secretary.	It has been agreed that the motion will include renaming the Youth Leadership Committee as the Youth and Families Committee as suggested in SPA- ITA-001. Discussion is continuing about the number of members in this committee and about the feasibility of age restrictions. Further amendments may be required when implications of motions are considered from other SWGs.
SPA-ITA-001 CHANGE OF SI YOUTH COMMITTEE STRUCTURE AND LEADERSHIP	Raffaella Rota, Servas Italy (rota.raffaella@gmail.com) Susana Martinez, Youth leadership committee. (gallipont@gmail.com)	It was suggested that the motion should be integrated into EXC-021 which proposes a new structure for all SI committees. It was highlighted that it would be difficult to impose an age restriction for some members of a committee in an open election. Instead it was suggested that it should an expectation that all ages would be represented on the committee to include for example parents and those with skills and experience of working with young people as well as young people themselves. This has been achieved at previous elections or through co-opting additional members.	There were no specific suggestions to clarify or amend the motion within the SWG discussion.	There should be discussion about integrating this motion into EXC-021 as part of the wider review of SI committees. Agreement is reached that the committee be renamed Youth and Families Committee. Discussion is continuing about whether there should be 4 or 5 committee members. Also about the feasibility of imposing age restrictions rather than leaving it as an

				expectation that all ages should be represented. Some of the discussion will be at the pre-conference youth meeting.
SPA-ITA-003 YOUTH AND FAMILIES OPPORTUNITIES	Raffaella Rota, Servas Italy (rota.raffaella@gmail.com) Susana Martinez, Youth leadership committee. (gallipont@gmail.com)	There was a lot of support for the idea of promoting programmes and activities aimed at families and young people. Also debate about some of the issues that arise, for example, in the SYLE programme.	There were no specific suggestions to clarify or amend the motion.	Motion should go forward as it is.